TO STATE OF THE PROTECTION OF

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

REGION 4
SAM NUNN
ATLANTA FEDERAL CENTER
61 FORSYTH STREET
ATLANTA GEORGIA 30303-8960

Confirmation Letter

JUN 16 2011

Ms. Judith Motz

Executive Secretary to the Clerk of Court/ CJA Clerk
U.S. District Court, Northern District of Georgia
2211 U.S. Courthouse
75 Spring Street, S.W.
Atlanta, GA 30303

2 S

RE: Duval Development Co., Inc. and Jeffrey H. Duvall - Docket No.: CWA-04-2010-5505

Dear Ms. Motz:

This letter is in reference to our conversation June 10, 2011, concerning the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's request to hold the hearing in the above captioned case at the United States District Court for the Northern District of Georgia. It is my understanding that courtroom 1860 of the U.S. Courthouse will be available for our use August 23 - August 26, 2011.

The hearing is scheduled to begin at 9:30a.m on Tuesday, August 23, 2011 and will be presided over by the Administrative Law Judge, Barbara A. Gunning, who resides in Washington, DC. I will keep you abreast of any changes that might take place up until the hearing proceedings.

Also, a court reporter will be obtained by my office and I will advise you as soon as possible on the name of the company and the court reporter who will be appearing for the hearing.

Thank you for your assistance in this matter. If you have any questions or would like any additional information please do not hesitate to contact me. I can be reached at (404) 562-9511 or bullock.patricia@epa.gov.

Sincerely,

Patricia A. Bullock

EPA Regional Hearing Clerk

Enclosure

cc: Judge Barbara A. Gunning

Steven Sarno



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

BEFORE THE ADMINISTRATOR

IN THE MATTER OF)
DUVALL DEVELOPMENT CO., INC.,))
and JEFFREY H. DUVALL.) DOCKET NO. CWA-04-2010-5505
) }
RESPONDENTS)

ORDER RESCHEDULING HEARING

This proceeding arises under the authority of Section 309(g) of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, commonly referred to as the Clean Water Act ("CWA"), as amended, 33 U.S.C. § 1319(g). The parties are reminded that this proceeding is governed by the Consolidated Rules of Practice Governing the Administrative Assessment of Civil Penalties and the Revocation/Termination or Suspension of Permits (the "Rules of Practice"), 40 C.F.R. §§ 22.1-22.32.

The Hearing in this matter was previously scheduled to commence on April 26, 2011. On March 3, 2011, Respondent was granted leave to file an amended answer. On March 10, 2011, the parties completed briefing on Complainant's Motion for Leave to File an Amended Complaint ("Motion"). Since that time the parties have been engaged in settlement negotiations to determine whether this Motion or any other pending motion should be withdrawn. Because the Motion contemplates the addition of an unnamed party, there is insufficient time before the scheduled Hearing to grant or deny the Motion, or allow for the filing of an answer. Therefore, the Hearing must be postponed.

The parties have agreed that the week of August 22, 2011, is an available alternative. The Hearing in this matter is hereby rescheduled to commence at 9:30 A.M. on Tuesday, August 23, 2011, in or around Atlanta, Georgia, continuing as necessary through August 26, 2011. In connection therewith, on or before July 29, 2011, the parties shall file a joint set of stipulated facts, exhibits, and testimony. See Section 22.19(b)(2) of the Rules of Practice, 40 C.F.R. § 22.19(b)(2).

Further, the parties are advised that every motion filed in this proceeding must be served in sufficient time to permit the filing of a response by the other party and to permit the issuance of an order on the motion before the deadlines set by this order or any subsequent order. Section 22.16(b) of the Rules of Practice, 40 C.F.R. § 22.16(b), allows a fifteen-day (15) period for responses to motions and Section 22.7(c), 40 C.F.R. § 22.7(c), provides for an additional five (5) days to be added thereto when the motion is served by mail. The parties are hereby notified that the undersigned will not entertain last minute motions to amend or supplement the prehearing exchange absent extraordinary circumstances.

IF ANY PARTY DOES NOT INTEND TO ATTEND THE HEARING OR HAS GOOD CAUSE FOR NOT BEING ABLE TO ATTEND THE HEARING AS SCHEDULED, IT SHALL NOTIFY THE UNDERSIGNED AT THE EARLIEST POSSIBLE MOMENT.

Barbara A. Gunning

Administrative Law Judge

Dated: April 1, 2011 Washington, DC